

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 MARK ANTHONY,)
11 Plaintiff,) 2:02-cv-1899-GEB-GGH-P
12 v.) RULING ON DEFENDANT'S
13) IN LIMINE MOTIONS
14 DEPUTY TODD HENRY,)
15 Defendant.)

)

16 On January 17, 2006, Defendant filed three enumerated
17 motions in limine. Motions one and two are based on the premise that
18 Plaintiff will seek to introduce evidence that does not comply with
19 applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Evidence. These motions
20 are denied because they need not be decided before trial.

21 The third motion seeks exclusion of evidence that a witness
22 was convicted of a crime. Defendant's arguments in favor of exclusion
23 are unpersuasive. Therefore, the motion is denied.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.
25 Dated: January 19, 2006
26
27
28

/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
United States District Judge